UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/098,667	03/15/2002	Alex Mashinsky	5068-15	5716	
27799 7590 05/18/2007 COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE 551 FIFTH AVENUE			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			AL AUBAID	AL AUBAIDI, RASHA S	
SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, NY 10176		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
- · - · · · · ,	,		2614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/098,667	MASHINSKY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Rasha S. AL-Aubaidi	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 17 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 18 cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 February 2007</u> .					
· -	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3,5,6 and 21-35 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3, 5-6 and 21-35 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This in response to amendment filed 02/06/2007. Claims 25-38 have been added. Claims 4 and 7 have been canceled. Claims 25-38 have been amended. Claims 1-3, 5-6 and 25-34 are still pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1-3 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bolduc et al (US Pat No. 6,404,877), herein after referred as Bolduc.

Regarding claim 1, referring to figures 1-3, Bolduc teaches a method, comprising: receiving at a processor (e.g., service node 190) a telephone call having routing information (e.g., caller's request for a product of interest) from an originating party (e.g., caller 100) (see figs 1-3; col. 2. In 36-col. 3, In 7; and col. 5, In 21-col. 6, In 50); routing the telephone call from the processor to a terminating party (e.g., Mountain Bikes of Denver, Colo.) based on the routing information (see figs 1-3; col. 2. In 36-col. 3, In 7; and col. 5, In 21-col. 6, In 50);

determining an identity of the terminating party at the processor (see figs 1-3; col. 2. In 36-col. 3, In 7; and col. 5, In 21-col. 6, In 50);

determining at the processor targeted marketing material based on the identity of the terminating party (see figs 1-3; col. 2. In 36-col. 3, In 7; and col. 5, In 21-col. 6, In 50); and

Art Unit: 2614

providing the targeted marketing material from the processor to the originating party (see figs 1-3; col. 2. In 36-col. 3, In 7; and col. 5, In 21-col. 6, In 50).

As to claims 5-6 and 21-24, Bolduc teaches the invention substantially as claimed as described in figs 1-3; col. 2. In 36-col. 3, In 7; and col. 5, In 21-col. 6, In 50.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 25-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolduc in view of Baker.

Regarding claim 25, Bolduc does not specifically teach the use of a VOIP transaction received from the originating party as recited in claim 25.

However, baker teach in a cal center 210 that is configured to include information assistance service provider 230 (as shown in Fig. 3), a voice information maybe packetized and transmitted to a VOIP through the Internet (see col. 6, lines 11-28).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the feature of receiving a VOIP transactions from a caller/originating party, as taught by baker, into the Bolduc system in order to expand

Art Unit: 2614

the caller's options and provide flexibility. At end this will enhance the system and provide a better and wider service to customers/callers.

Claims 26-34 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claims 1-3, 5-6 and 21-25, respectively.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/098,667

Art Unit: 2614

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Page 5

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

.7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rasha S AL-Aubaidi whose telephone number is (571)

272-7481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to

5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (571) 272-7488.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RASHA S. AL-AUBAIDI PATENT EXAMINER

ART UNIT 2614

05/13/2007